BEFORE THE APPEALS BOARD FOR THE KANSAS DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

KATHERINE	J. GRAHAM) Claimant	
VS.	Ciaimant	Dook of No. 172 910
SANTA FE TRAILS NURSING CENTER		Docket No. 172,819
AND	Respondent)	
FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE COMPANY		
AND	Insurance Carrier	
KANSAS W	ORKERS COMPENSATION FUND	

ORDER

Respondent appeals from a Preliminary Hearing Order of July 11, 1994, wherein Administrative Law Judge Floyd V. Palmer ordered claimant referred for a vocational rehabilitation assessment pursuant to K.S.A. 1992 Supp. 44-510g(e)(1) and payment of temporary total disability compensation during the assessment.

ISSUES

Respondent alleges that the Administrative Law Judge exceeded his jurisdiction in granting vocational rehabilitation benefits and accompanying compensation benefits. Respondent requests review of the following issues:

- (1) Whether the current injury was of a nature sufficient to impose the employer and insurer with the obligation for vocational rehabilitation when vocational rehabilitation was being offered for a prior injury at the time of the current alleged injury.
- (2) Whether an additional application for vocational rehabilitation is a proper subject of consideration by the Administrative Law Judge when a prior plan and assessment are under consideration and yet are on hold due to the existence of an alleged subsequent injury.

- (3) Whether the restrictions set forth by the physicians in the case reveal any need for vocational rehabilitation benefits to be related to the last injury when a prior plan for vocational rehabilitation takes into account virtually the same limitations as to lifting restrictions and standing, sitting, bending, etc., restrictions.
- (4) All such other issues that may be properly submitted to the Board within the boundaries of this appeal.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based upon the evidence presented and for purposes of preliminary hearing, the Appeals Board finds that the Administrative Law Judge is given the authority by K.S.A. 1992 Supp 44-510g(e)(1) to refer an injured worker to a qualified vocational rehabilitation vendor for an assessment and for a report in regard to the need for vocational rehabilitation services. The respondent may dispute the factual findings made by the Administrative Law Judge which persuaded him that a vocational assessment was needed, but this does not deprive the Administrative Law Judge of his authority to make such findings and order.

After examining both K.S.A. 44-551, as amended by S.B. 59, and K.S.A. 44-534a, the Appeals Board finds that the subject Order of July 11, 1994, is neither a final order which can be reviewed by the Appeals Board nor does this appeal raise one of the issues considered jurisdictional under K.S.A. 44-534a. Consequently, the Appeals Board does not have jurisdiction to review the issues raised by this appeal from the Preliminary Hearing Order of the Administrative Law Judge.

WHEREFORE, it is the finding, decision, and order of the Appeals Board that this appeal should be, and hereby is, dismissed. The Order for Compensation During Vocational Rehabilitation entered by Administrative Law Judge Floyd V. Palmer, on July 11, 1994, remains in full force and effect.

11 15 50 OKDE	KEU.	
Dated this	day of March, 1995.	
	BOARD MEMBER	
	BOARD MEMBER	
	BOARD MEMBER	

c: Robert E. Tilton, Topeka, KS Matthew J. Stretz, Kansas City, MO Michael J. Unrein, Topeka, KS Floyd V. Palmer, Administrative Law Judge George Gomez, Director